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#### Abstract

We isolated and characterized Eastern spiny mouse, Acomys dimidiatus microsatellite loci. A microsat-ellite-enriched library was created and A. dimidiatus fragments sequenced using 454 sequencing. In total, 1,221 primer-designable microsatellite sequences were identified. We designed primer sets for 20 loci. Loci were characterized in A. dimidiatus individuals from a semi-isolated desert wadi (valley) in St. Katherine Protectorate, Egypt. After initial trails, 18 microsatellite loci were genotyped in 67 mice. The number of alleles displayed in the 18 markers ranged from three to nine $($ mean $=6)$ with mean expected and observed


[^0][^1]heterozygosities of 0.63 and 0.65 , respectively. All 18 selected loci were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium ( $P>0.01$ ). These markers will be used to investigate the fine-scale spatial patterns of genetic diversity and divergence of $A$. dimidiatus populations. The isolated loci are of potential utility in other murines, including 260 threatened species.

Keywords Eastern spiny mouse • Pyrosequencing • Microsatellite markers - Muridae .
Rodent Simple sequence repeats (SSRs)

The Eastern spiny mouse, Acomys dimidiatus (Rodentia: Muridae), inhabits adjacent desert wadis (valleys) in St. Katherine Protectorate, Sinai, Egypt but populations differ in parasite burdens (Behnke et al. 2004). The markers we report here will be used to study the fine-scale spatial genetic structure of Eastern spiny mouse populations and how heterozygosity influences host susceptibility to infection. The large number of A. dimidiatus microsatellite sequences isolated are likely to be of utility in other species of the same genus such as the endemic Acomys minous (Bates 1994). Additionally, by aligning the sequences with their homologues in the house mouse Mus mus genome (following the approach of Dawson et al. 2010), markers can be created with enhanced cross-species utility, so enabling studies of conservation genetics in Muridae more broadly, $36 \%$ of which are threatened species ( $\approx 260$ species; Myers et al. 2006).

A microsatellite-enriched library was constructed from a male spiny mouse (ID: 8183) from Wadi Tlah, St. Katherine Protectorate, Egypt. Genomic DNA was extracted from the mouse tail using an ammonium acetate precipitation method (Nicholls et al. 2000) and the library was made using the

Table 1 Summary of Eastern spiny mouse Acomys dimidiatus microsatellite marker isolation

|  | Singleton | Contig | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of 454 sequences obtained. (Six different enriched libraries ( 6 species) were tagged and sequenced together on a quarter of a plate) | - | - | 14,585 |
| Number of sequences remaining after the removal of the $S a u-L A / B$ linker sequences (Royle et al. 1992) and poly-A tails. Seqman NGen 2.0.0. parameters: MerLength $=5$; minMerMatch $=3$; MinTrimLength $=15$ | - | - | 14,206 (97.4 \%) |
| Number of sequences assembled in contigs or remaining unassembled as singletons using SeqMan NGen v1.2 (DNAStar, Inc); assembly criteria included minimum overlap match of 81 bases and $90 \%$ similarity for assignment into contigs (with a minimum of two sequences aligned per contig). The minAveLowQual parameter was set to 14 | 9,576 (67.4 \%) | 4,630 reads assembled into 1,676 contigs, ( $32.6 \%$ ) | 14,206 |
| Number of sequences remaining after Sputnik software was used to search for microsatellites). Sputnik parameter settings were sputnik -u $2-\mathrm{v}$ $5-\mathrm{s} 20-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{L} 20-\mathrm{F}$ infile.fas > outfile.fas Sequences with a repeat purity of at least $90 \%$ were retained | 4,408 (46.0 \%) | 1,676 (32.2 \%) | 6,084 (42.8 \%) |
| Number of sequences remaining after removing sequences with a flanking region of 20 base-pairs or less on both sides flanking the repeat region, which we deemed not primer designable | 933 (21.2 \%) | 288 (17.2 \%) | 1,221 (20.1 \%) |
| Number of primer sets designed | 10 | 10 | 20 |
| Number of primer sets amplifying | 9 | 10 | 19 |
| Number of primer sets polymorphic | 9 | 10 | 19 |
| Number of primer sets deviating from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Number of primer sets deemed suitable for use in population structure and parentage studies | $8^{\text {a }}$ | 10 | 18 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ After preliminary genotyping of 24 individuals, two loci, whose primer sets were designed from singleton sequences, Adim019 and Adim020 (EMBL accession numbers: HE994160 and HE994161) were excluded from further use. Adim019 displayed the same two alleles in all individuals (hence deviating from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium) and Adim020 did not amplify a product
The primer set for Adim019: (F): [6-FAM]GCATATGGGCAGCATTAAGTAG \& (R): TTTCACACGACGGTATTTCC The primer set for Adim020: (F): [6-FAM]AAGGCTTGGCCAGTATTAAGC \& (R): TGGACAAGCTCCAATCAATG Details of the remaining 18 validated markers are provided in Table 2
enrichment approach of Armour et al. (1994). The library was enriched for the following di- and tetranucleotide microsatellite motifs and their complements separately: $(A C)_{n},(A G)_{n}$, and $(G A T A)_{n},(T T T C)_{n},(G T A A)_{n}$, and (CTAA) $)_{n}$, which had been denatured and bound to magnetic beads following Glenn and Schable (2005). Following enrichment, the dinucelotide- and tetranucleotide-enriched fragments were PCR amplified separately, in three separate reactions for each, to obtain sufficient DNA (ca $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) for sequencing. Each $25 \mu \mathrm{l} \mathrm{PCR}$ contained $2.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ dinucleo-tide- or tetranucleotide-enriched DNA, $1 \times$ reaction buffer (Bioline), $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ BSA, $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$ dNTPs, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Sau-LA linker/primer (Royle et al. 1992), $2.0 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}$ and 1 unit of DNA Taq polymerase (Bioline). The PCR program used was as in Glenn and Schable (2005). The three dinucleotide and three tetranucleotide PCRs were pooled together. The resultant mixed dinucleotide/tetra-nucleotide-enriched DNA was purified using a QIAquick PCR purification column (Qiagen) and eluted in $40 \mu \mathrm{l}$ to create a concentration of ca $125 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. DNA concentration
was measured on the Nanodrop 8000 (Thermo Scientific). The pooled PCR-amplified enriched fragments were sequenced without shearing by 454 GS FLX. Six different enriched libraries ( 6 species) were tagged and sequenced together on a quarter of a plate.

A total of 14,585 sequences was obtained from 454 sequencing. Data were cleaned and assembled using Seqman NGen 2.0.0 (DNASTAR, Inc); for parameter settings see Table 1. Microsatellite repeats were detected using a modified version of SPUTNIK (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/ ITMI/EST-SSR/LaRota (again, see Table 1 for parameter settings)A total of 933 singletons and 288 contigs remained that each contained a microsatellite of at least ten repeat units and had sufficient suitable flanking sequence to allow primers to be designed to amplify the repeat region. The 288 contigs were submitted to the EMBL database (EMBL accession numbers HE994150-HE994159 and HE994162 HE994439). We used Primer3 (Rozen and Skaletsky 2000) to design primer sets from 20 unique sequences (Adim0001-Adim020; Table 2). The primer design criteria
Table 2 Characterization of 18 autosomal Eastern spiny mouse (Acomys dimidiatus) microsatellite loci ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Locus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | EMBL accession number | Repeat motif | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tm} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Expected allele size of cloned individual 8183 (bp) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Observed genotype of mouse 8183 (bp) | Allele <br> size <br> range <br> (bp) | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {A }}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {HWE }}$ | Est. <br> null <br> allele <br> freq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adim001 | HE994142 | (TATC) 7 | F:[6-FAM]CCCTGCATCCTCTAGTCACC | 59.7 | 247 | 247, 259 | 243-267 | 6 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.58 | $-_{0.01}$ |
|  |  |  | R:AGCAGCCTGGGTTACATGAT | 59.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim002 | HE994143 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (TATC)11.. } \\ & \text { (TATC)2.. } \\ & \text { (TATC)2 } \end{aligned}$ | F:[HEX]GCAGCAGCTATGTGGACTTG | 59.6 | 200 | 200, 200 | 176-208 | 8 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.69 | $0.02$ |
|  |  |  | R:GATGTGTACTGACAGAAGCATGG | 59.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim003 | HE994144 | (CA)6.. (CA) 13 | ```F:[6- FAM]TGTGTTCAGAAATACCACTTCTATTC``` | $\begin{aligned} & 57.9 \\ & 57.8 \end{aligned}$ | 199 | 183, 198 | 180-203 | 9 | 0.93 | 0.83 | 0.15 | ${ }_{0.06}$ |
|  |  |  | R:CTGGGTTTGGTACCTGGAC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim004 | HE994145 | (GATA).. (GATA).. (GATA)7.. <br> (GATA)2 | F:[6- | 60.0 | 225 | 222, 222 | 218-226 | 3 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.61 | $0.04$ |
|  |  |  | FAM]GAGTTTGTAGCTCTTAGTGCTGGAC R:TGTTCATGGTGAGAACCTCAAG | 60.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim005 | HE994146 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (GATA).. (TG).. } \\ & \text { (TG)5.. (GATA)11.. } \\ & \text { (TG).. (TG)3.. } \\ & \text { (TG)3.. (TG) } 8 \end{aligned}$ | F:[HEX]CGGTAAGACCATAGAAGGTTTG | 57.8 | 245 | 243,246 | $\underset{\mathrm{f}}{231-251}$ | 9 | 0.75 | 0.76 | 0.95 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | R:AGTTGACGAGTTGACATCAGTG | 57.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim006 | HE994147 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (CA)17.. (CA)3.. } \\ & \text { (CA)3(CG)4..(TG)2 } \end{aligned}$ | F:[HEX]GAAATGTCTGTGTTTGGTCGTG | 60.5 | $147^{\text {d }}$ | 131, 133 | 125-139 | 6 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.04 | $0.02$ |
|  |  |  | R:ACCATAGGGATTTCCAACCAG |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim007 | HE994148 | (CA)12.. (CA) | F:[HEX]CGACTTCTGGCCTCTACATGG | 62.1 | 175 | 171,171 | 163-173 | 4 | 0.54 | 0.60 | 0.15 | 0.05 |
|  |  |  | R:CAGGGCACTGGAATGAAGC | 61.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim008 | HE994149 | (GTCT)4.. (TATC)12 | F:[HEX]GCAATACAGCCGTACCTTGC | 60.7 | 161 | 142, 155 | 142-167 | 5 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 0.13 | 0.05 |
|  |  |  | R:TGTCAAATGCATTCATGACAAAC | 60.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim009 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | HE994150 | ```(TATC)6.. (TATC).. (TATC).. (TATC).. (GTCT)4``` | F:[HEX]GATCGATCACAATAACTAGGAAAGT | 47.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 231 \\ & (235)^{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$ | 235, $243{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 235-258 | 6 | 0.51 | 0.57 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
|  |  |  | R:GCGGAAGCTTAGATTTAAAGG | $(57.1)^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 57.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim010 | HE994151 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (TTTTCC)2.. } \\ & \text { (TTTC) } 15 \end{aligned}$ | F:[6-FAM]AGTGGTGGCCTGCCTTAG | 58.8 | 236 | 239, 241 | 223-245 | 9 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.47 | 0.01 |
|  |  |  | R:ACAGTGACAGCCAACAGAGC | 59.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim011 | HE994152 | (TATC) 10 | F:[6-FAM]TCACAGTACAGTGGGTAATGCAG | 60.1 | 382 | 378, 382 | 378-393 | 5 | 0.78 | 0.74 | 0.71 | ${ }^{-} 0.03$ |
|  |  |  | R:CAGGAGAATTAAGCATCCAAGG | 60.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim012 | HE994153 | (GT)9.. (GT) 8 | F:[HEX]CCAAACTTAGATGTTGACAAGCTG | 60.2 | 299 | 309, 311 | 292-311 | 6 | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.48 | 0.08 |
|  |  |  | R:TCAAATCTTCCTATGTTCAATGGAG | 60.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adim013 | HE994154 | (TTTC)5.. (TTTC)3 | F:[HEX]CAGTCGAAAGAAGACAGGACAG | 59.1 | 241 | 235, 243 | $\underset{f}{230-255}$ | 9 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.97 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | R:AGCACTTTGCTAACATGCATAAG | 58.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 continued

| Locus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | EMBL accession number | Repeat motif | Primer sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Tm} \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Expected <br> allele size of cloned individual 8183 (bp) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Observed genotype of mouse 8183 (bp) | Allele <br> size <br> range <br> (bp) | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {A }}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {Hwe }}$ | Est. <br> null <br> allele <br> freq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adim014 | HE994155 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (AGG)3.. (AGG)2.. } \\ & \text { (AGG)4.. (AGG)4.. } \\ & \text { (AGG)2.. (AGG)4.. } \\ & \text { (AGG)2.. (AGG)3 } \end{aligned}$ | F:[HEX]CCTAATTTGGTCAGGTGTTGTAGC <br> R:ATGAGCATTGTTTGGCTTGC | $\begin{aligned} & 61.1 \\ & 61.2 \end{aligned}$ | $394{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 296, 296 | 284-296 | 3 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.25 | $0.10$ |
| Adim015 | HE994156 | (TATC)8.. (TATC)2 | F:[6-FAM]CTCTGTCCTGATGGAGGAGTC <br> R:CCAGCCTAGCTAGATGTTTGC | $\begin{aligned} & 58.8 \\ & 59.2 \end{aligned}$ | 241 | 222, 222 | 222-242 | 6 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.02 | $-_{0.04}$ |
| Adim016 | HE994157 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (GT) 10.. (GT)3.. } \\ \text { (GT)3.. (GT) } \end{gathered}$ | F:[6-FAM]GTAGCCTCGGCTGACCTG <br> R:TGTTGGTCAATACCTCCATATCC | $\begin{aligned} & 59.5 \\ & 60.0 \end{aligned}$ | 175 | 177, 177 | 177-192 | 3 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.63 | $-_{0.07}$ |
| Adim017 | HE994158 | (CA).. (CA)11.. <br> (CA)3.. (CA) | F:[6-FAM]CTTGCAAGCTCATGGGAAG <br> R:GTTCACTCCCACCCTTCTTG | $\begin{aligned} & 59.5 \\ & 59.6 \end{aligned}$ | 131 | 127, 127 | 121-127 | 4 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 1.00 | $-_{0.04}$ |
| Adim018 | HE994159 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (TATC) } 11 . . \\ & \text { (TATC) } 3 . . \text { (TATC) } 2 \end{aligned}$ | F:[HEX]TTCACTCATATGTGGAATTTAGACC R:TCTCTTTGGAACCCTTTAGTCC | $\begin{aligned} & 58.5 \\ & 58.8 \end{aligned}$ | 192 | 189,193 | 185-212 | 7 | 0.81 | 0.73 | 0.89 | ${ }_{0.03}$ |

$\overline{T_{m}}$ melting temperature of primer sequence (calculated using Primer3; Rozen and Skaletsky 2000), $N_{A}$ number of alleles observed, $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ observed heterozygosity, $H_{\mathrm{E}}$ expected heterozygosity, $P_{\text {HWE }}$ probability of deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE)
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Loci were genotyped in 67 A. dimidiatus individuals ( 37 males and 30 females) sampled at the Wadi Tlah, St. Katherine Protectorate, South Sinai, Egypt. Every locus was typed in every individual (following reruns). All loci were autosomal based on the amplification of a proportion of heterozygotes in males and females ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The first eight primer sets (Adim001-Adim008) were designed from singleton sequences and the remainder from contigs
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The expected allele size of cloned individual 8183 was based on the sequenced allele
${ }^{\text {d }}$ The smaller difference between the observed and expected allele size for Adim006 may be due to (singleton) sequencing error; whereas for Adim014, it could be due to or problems with contig assembly or perhaps due to a different locus being amplified to that cloned
The forward primer of locus Adim009 includes the $5^{\prime}$ pigtail "GATC" (shown underlined). The calculation of the forward primer's melting temperature and the expected (shown in brackets) and observed allele sizes include the 4 bp of the pigtail

[^2]used included a maximum $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ difference between the forward and reverse primers, possession of a G/C clamp and a maximum of three consecutive mononucleotide bases.

Loci were initially genotyped in 24 individuals also sampled from Wadi Tlah. For amplification, each reaction contained $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ air-dried DNA at $\approx 10 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}, 1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of primer mix (fluorescently labelled forward and reverse) at $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $1 \mu$ QIAGEN multiplex PCR mix (QIAGEN Inc). The PCR program used consisted of an initial denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 90 s at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 60 s at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a final extension at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . PCR products were diluted 1:358 with double-deionized $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ before resolving them in an ABI 3730 48-well capillary DNA Analyser (Applied Biosystems, California, USA). Allele scoring was performed using GeneMapper v3.7 (Applied Biosystems, California, USA). Finally, all individuals possessing alleles differing by one base-pair at a locus were genotyped at least twice, along with additional control individuals to check for allele sizing errors. Observed and expected heterozygosities were calculated and null allele frequencies estimated using CERVUS v3.0.3 software (Kalinowski et al. 2007), and deviation from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium were assessed with GENEPOP v4.0.10 (Raymond and Rousset 1995; Rousset 2008). Markers amplifying a product of the expected size, which were polymorphic and adhered to HWE, were used to genotype additional mice from the same population, totalling 67 individuals.

After preliminary genotyping of 24 individuals, two loci that were both developed from singletons, Adim019 and Adim020 (Table 1), were excluded from further use, as the former displayed the same two alleles in all individuals and the latter did not amplify. The remaining 18 loci (Adim0001Adim018) possessed three to nine alleles ( mean $=6$ ) in 67 individuals (Table 2). The allele sizes amplified for each locus matched those expected based on the individual that was cloned and sequenced ( $\pm 2 \mathrm{bp}$ ), except for Adim006 and Adim014 (14 and 101 bp smaller than expected respectively; Table 2). All loci had very low estimated null allele frequencies (below $10 \%$ ) and were in HWE ( $P>0.01$; Table 2). Observed heterozygosities ranged from 0.24 to 0.83 (Table 2). Deviation from linkage equilibrium was detected between two pairs of loci: Adim007-Adim015 ( $P<0.001$ ), and Adim005-Adim011 ( $P=0.006$ ). However, neither pair displayed linkage disequilibrium in a different population ( $P>0.05$; 57 individuals typed; data not shown).

A higher number of validated markers was obtained from contig sequences than singletons ( 100 vs. $80 \%$; Table 1). However, the difference in success was relatively low and only ten primer sets of each category were
tested; therefore, singleton sequences should not be discarded or overlooked. Many (more) primer-designable microsatellite sequences were obtained from singleton sequences compared to contigs ( 933 vs. 288), and these may be especially useful for obtaining higher genome coverage or identifying markers in a region of specific interest. We therefore provide the uncharacterized singleton microsatellite sequences as supplementary information (Supplementary Table 1).
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